	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
Interview Summary	09/300,612		LIPPS ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Padmavathi v Baska	ar	1645		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>Padmavathi v Baskar</u> .	(3) <u>B.Lipps (inventor)</u> .				
(2) <u>John Casperson(attorney)</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 3/25, 3/31/2004.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:					
Claim(s) discussed:					
Identification of prior art discussed:					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The inventor, attorney and the examiner discussed about the invention and the unique property of the antibody raised against inventors patented(US 5,744,449) product, lethal toxin neutralizing factor and its use in various assays. Again attorney of record 3/31/04 discussed the claim language with the examiner.</u>					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
			,		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	 Exam	iner's signa	ature, if required		

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/300,612	LIPPS ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Padmavathi v Baskar	1645			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>Padmavathi v Baskar</u> .	(3)				
(2) John Casperson(attorney).	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>4/8 and 6/15/04</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:					
Claim(s) discussed:					
Identification of prior art discussed:					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The attorney of record and the examiner discussed the invention and the claim language</u> . The attorney of record agreed to submit the amendments.					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no c allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
Commission Nation Vision Advisor Advis					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required			